## Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 50821 City of Stanton RN101392082 Docket No. 2015-1052-PWS-E

## Order Type:

Findings Agreed Order

## **Findings Order Justification:**

Three or more enforcement actions (NOVs, orders, etc.) over the prior five year period for the same violation(s).

#### Media:

**PWS** 

### **Small Business:**

No

## Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

City of Stanton PWS, located at the intersection of Saint Joseph Street and School Street, Stanton, Martin County

## Type of Operation:

Public water supply

## **Other Significant Matters:**

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: October 16, 2015

Comments Received: No

## **Penalty Information**

Total Penalty Assessed: \$195

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$0 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$195 Total Due to General Revenue: \$0

Payment Plan: N/A

# Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

# **Compliance History Classifications:**

Person/CN - High Site/RN - N/A

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A Applicable Penalty Policy: April 2014

## Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 50821 City of Stanton RN101392082 Docket No. 2015-1052-PWS-E

## **Investigation Information**

Complaint Date(s): N/A

**Complaint Information: N/A** 

Date(s) of Investigation: June 15, 2015 through June 26, 2015

Date(s) of NOE(s): June 26, 2015

## Violation Information

Failed to comply with the maximum contaminant level of 0.010 milligrams per liter for arsenic based on the running annual average [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.106(f)(3) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341.0315(c)].

## Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

## **Corrective Action(s) Completed:**

N/A

## **Technical Requirements:**

The Order will require the Respondent to:

- a. Within 365 days, return to compliance with the running annual average maximum contaminant level for arsenic; and
- b. Within 380 days, submit written certification to demonstrate compliance with a.

## Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

## **Contact Information**

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Epifanio Villarreal, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 2, MC R-14, (361) 825-3425; Candy Garrett, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-1456

**TCEQ SEP Coordinator**: N/A

**Respondent:** Michael Adams, City Administrator, City of Stanton, P.O. Box 370.

Stanton, Texas 79782

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

#### Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 4 (April 2014) DATES Assigned 29-Jun-2015 PCW 20-Aug-2015 | Screening 13-Jul-2015 EPA Due 30-Jun-2015 RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent City of Stanton Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101392082 Facility/Site Region 7-Midland Major/Minor Source Minor CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. 50821 Docket No. 2015-1052-PWS-E No. of Violations 1 Order Type Findings Media Program(s) Public Water Supply Government/Non-Profit Yes Multi-Media Enf. Coordinator Lisa Westbrook EC's Team Enforcement Team 2 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Maximum \$1,000

Donalty Calculation Carling		
Penalty Calculation Section		
TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)	Subtotal 1	\$150
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1		
Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.		
Compliance History 30,0% Enhancement Subtot	als 2, 3, & 7	\$45
Enhancement for three NOVs with the same/similar violations and one Notes agreed order without a denial of liability. Reduction for High Performer Classification.		
Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
Notes The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.	The second secon	т-
Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments	Subtotal 5	\$0
The production of the producti	**************************************	
Economic Benefit 0.0% Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
Total EB Amounts \$3,752 *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Estimated Cost of Compliance \$40,000	**************************************	
SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1+7	nal Subtotal	\$195
OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 0.0%	Adjustment	\$0
Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.		T -
Notes (AA) And		
Final Pena	alty Amount	\$195
STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT Final Asses	sed Penalty	\$195
DEFERRAL 0.0% Reduction  Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)	Adjustment	\$0
Notes No deferral is recommended for Findings Orders.		
PAYABLE PENALTY		\$195

Policy Revision 4 (April 2014) PCW Revision March 26, 2014

Respondent City of Stanton Case ID No. 50821 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101392082

Media [Statute] Public Water Supply

Enf. Coordinator Lisa Westbrook

**Compliance History Worksheet** 

S Compliance Histo	Compliance History Worksneet ry Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)			
Component	Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.	and the second of the second
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action ( <i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i> )	3	15%	
	Other written NOVs	0	0%	
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%	
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		25%	
Judgments and Consent	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%	
Décrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%	
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	· 0	0%	
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0.0	0%	
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%	
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0%	
F. 6.44 a		ease Enter Yes or No		1
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No No	0%	
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No.	0%	
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	~ → No ·	0%	
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%	
	Adjustment Pe	rcentage (Sub	total 2)	40%
Repeat Violator (		roontogo-/Bub	tatal 21	0%
	Aujustment Pe	centage (300	cviai 3)	U-70
			[	
<del></del>	omer Adjustment Pe	rcentage (Sub	total 7)	-109
> Compliance Histo	ncy adminary			
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for three NOVs with the same/similar violations and one agreed o denial of liability. Reduction for High Performer Classification.	rder without a		
	Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (	Subtotals 2, .	3, & 7) [	30%
> Final Compliance I				
	Final Adjustment Percent	aye *capped a	16 100%	30%

Respondent Clase ID No. 59821 Reg. Ent. Reference No. 100 59821 Reg. Ent. Reference No. 100 59821 Red. Status Public Water Supply Enf. Coordinator Violation Number Rule Cite(s)  Violation Pescription  Violation Description  Violation Description  Violation Pescription  Violation Pescription  Violation Pescription  Raile of the record review, it was documented that the running annual average concentrations for arisenic were (0.012 mg/L for the third quarter of 2014, 0.011 mg/L for the fourth quarter of 2014, and 0.011 mg/L for the first quarter of 2015, 1.000  >> Environmental; Property and Human Health Matrix Release Major Moderate Minor  Release Major Moderate Minor  Release Major Moderate Minor  Percent 15.0%  >> Programmatic Matrix Release Major Moderate Minor  Actual Percent Major Moderate Minor  Actual Ac
Regis Ent. Reference No. RN101392082 Media [Statute] Public Water Supply Enf. Coordinator Usa Westbrook Violation Number Rule Cite(s)
Media   Statute   Public Water Supply   Enf. Coordinator   Lisa Westbrook
Enf. Coordinator Usa Westbrook Violation Number 1  Rule Cite(s)  30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.106(f)(3) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341.0315(c)  Falled to comply with the maximum contaminant level ("MCL") of 0.010 milligrams per liter ("rng/l") for arsenic, based on the running annual average. Specifically, at the time of the record review, it was documented that the running annual average concentrations for arsenic were 0.012 mg/L for the third quarter of 2014, 0.011 mg/L for the first quarter of 2015.  Base Penalty \$1,000  > Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix
Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex, Admin. Code § 290.106(f)(3) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341.0315(c)
Violation Description  Violation Description  Violation Description  Violation Description  William Percent Service Se
Violation Description  Per litter ("mg/L") for arsenic, based on the running annual average concentrations for arsenic were 0.012 mg/L for the third quarter of 2014, 0.011 mg/L for the first quarter of 2015.  Base Penalty  \$1,000  > Environmental, Property and Human-Health Matrix  Release Major Moderate Minor  Actual X Percent 15.0%  > Programmatic Matrix  Falsification Major Moderate Minor  Falsification Major Moderate Minor  Percent 0.0%  Exceeding the MCL for arsenic, based on the running annual average, has exposed customers of the Facility to a significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  Adjustment \$850  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events 1 273 Number of violation days  ### Adjustment   \$850  Violation Base Penalty   \$150  **Violation Base Penalty   \$150  **Violation Base Penalty   \$150  **Violation Base Penalty   \$150  **Violation Base Penalty   \$150
>> Friving mental, Property and Human Health Matrix  Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Actu
Release   Major   Moderate   Minor
Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential X Percent 15.0%  > Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0%  Matrix Notes  Exceeding the MCL for arsenic, based on the running annual average, has exposed customers of the Facility to a significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  **Artjustment**  **Artjustment**  **State Of Violation Events**  Number of Violation Events**  **Number of Violation Events**  **Matrix Notes**  **Percent**  **Percent**  **Percent**  **O.0%*  **Percent**  **O.0%*  **Percent**  **Percent**  **O.0%*  **O.0%*  **Percent**  **O.0%*  **O.0%*
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate
Falsification Major Moderate Minor  Percent 0.0%  Matrix Notes  Matrix Notes  Exceeding the MCL for arsenic, based on the running annual average, has exposed customers of the Facility to a significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  Adjustment \$850  \$150  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events 1 273 Number of violation days    Matrix Notes   Major Moderate Minor   Percent 0.0%
Matrix Notes  Exceeding the MCL for arsenic, based on the running annual average, has exposed customers of the Facility to a significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  Adjustment \$850  \$150  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events  Mark only one with an x  Matrix Notes  Adjustment \$850  \$150  Violation Base Penalty \$150
Matrix Notes    Exceeding the MCL for arsenic, based on the running annual average, has exposed customers of the Facility to a significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.    Adjustment
the Facility to a significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  **Adjustment** \$850  **I50  **Violation Events**  Number of Violation Events**  **Number of Violation Events**  **Discretized for the protective of human health.  **Adjustment** \$850  **Significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  **Significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  **Significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  **Significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  **Significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  **Significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  **Significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  **Significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  **Significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  **Significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  **Significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  **Significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  **Significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  **Significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  **Significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  **Significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  **Significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  **Significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  **Significant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels protective of human health.  **Significant amount of hu
Violation Events  Number of Violation Events  1 273 Number of violation days  daily  weekly mark only one with an x  quatterly semiannual annual x
Violation Events  Number of Violation Events  1 273 Number of violation days  daily  weekly mark only one with an x  quatterly semiannual annual x
Number of Violation Events  Number of Violation Events  1 273 Number of violation days  daily.  weekly.  mark only one with an x  qpatterly.  semiannual.  annual.  x
Number of Violation Events  1
mark only one with an x    Cally
mark only one with an x    weekly
One annual event is recommended.
Good Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% Reduction \$0
Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary Section 1997 Sect
Ordinary Control of the Control of t
N/A (mark with x)
Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.
Violation Subtotal \$150
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test

programme and the program of	E E	eonomic	Benefit:	Work	sheet		
Respondent	para pri ne postana manana na m	i ja a martinamida sulo aparema compresa a premiora		e, incesto sebreto rigili i di della	ne - Marco de Tromi employe está de la cidade	inge en	Encoration and the second
Case ID No.	<del>1</del>						
Rea. Ent. Reference No.	4						
	Public Water S						Years of
The transfer of the contract o	ž.	ирріу				Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No.	1			ar system when when the	management of the state of the		Depreciation
						5.0	1
	Item Cost	<b>Date Required</b>	Final Date	Yrs In	terest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description					fertie exercis		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2.310.00110100.01.9		50 405 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	e de la composition			
Delayed Costs	AND THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO						
Equipment	Carakata Araba Ar	and making algori	artag a confide	0.001	. \$0	-∱ \$0	\$0
Buildings	Tiglious@gselfrist@cst.26	Bistoria de Mario	The Marine of the State of the	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	* \$40.000	30-Sep-2014	31-Jan-2017	2.34	\$312	\$6.239	\$3.752
Engineering/Construction	es la bé anadéti () - E-M	Difference of the second of the second	glada salahiya jayani sal	0.00	\$0.	\$0	\$0
Land	and the stage of the stage	Problems, satisfication	las ingras resignately.	0.00	\$0:		\$0
Record Keeping System	der big State (1925)	en in die lag dam Gebeut in der	EGHROOMSER	0.00	\$0	salestava ir Valstininini	\$0
Training/Sampling	வக்கத்தில் நிரும் மிற	a Amilia (Calaberra de Co	การใช้สายความสายครั้งได้เกิด	0.00	\$0	radistratin/assessment	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	Visit British William Co.	Beetjaar (James Bergara)	of Property of the Personal Co.	0.00	°. • a <b>\$0</b> ≥ 15a≠a	excellacin/ada-cate	\$0
Permit Costs	্ত্ৰীয়েক ক্ষেত্ৰ ১	Property and	N, Es, Eskgiles-Leavig	0.00	\$0,243.56	statutin/a turile	E. 140 \$0 31-17
Other (as needed)	Paragraphic Control of the Con-	SAMONT REPORT	PRINCE THE BOOK P	0.00	\$0	entre in/a a motor	so
Notes for DELAYED costs	necessary calculated fi	corrective action on the last day	ns to return to o of the first quart	ompliance er of nonc	with the runni compliance to ti	tigate, identify and ng annual average f ne estimated date o	or arsenic, f compliance.
Avoided Costs			costs detores			or one-time avol	
Disposal	1944 July 2004		to the manufacture of	0.00	<u> </u>	**************************************	\$0
Personnel	3510 RAMBO 1250	Elegania de de estada.	hall with a second		50:250:30 50:30	\$0 \$0	
nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	7 18787 2, 388	Particular and Assessment Com-	Joseph 4, 147, 64714		=4-1-\$0-2:32 14-4-\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]	4 juliu gijanjak argoni i gino	Single State of the Internal	Jeringo di se prisono di se	0.00	\$0-2	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	51/2/2017/01/2017 1951 1951 1951 1951		na prez dia denega	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	of Sales and Arthur		Company to the second second	0.00	\$0.	\$0	\$0.00
Other (as needed)		<del>dinaman Kabulatan Araba.</del> Matana	<u>Harandian databah databah</u> Tangkatak databah	1 3 3° °	TO SERVICE CONTRACTOR		
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance	<u> </u>	\$40,000	]	622	TOTAL		\$3,752



# CEQ Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN600632905, RN101392082, Rating Year 2014 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2009, through August 31, 2014.

Customer, Respondent, CN600632905, City of Stanton

Classification: HIGH

Rating: 0.00

or Owner/Operator: Regulated Entity:

RN101392082, City of Stanton

PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY

Classification: NOT APPLICABLE

Rating: N/A

**Complexity Points:** 

N/A

Repeat Violator: N/A

CH Group:

14 - Other

Location:

AT THE INTERSECTION OF SAINT JOSEPH STREET AND SCHOOL STREET IN STANTON, MARTIN COUNTY,

**TEXAS** 

**TCEQ Region:** 

**REGION 07 - MIDLAND** 

ID Number(s):

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM/SUPPLY REGISTRATION 1590001

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2009 to August 31, 2014

Rating Year: 2014

Rating Date: 09/01/2014

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: July 13, 2015

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Component Period Selected: July 13, 2010 to July 13, 2015

TCEO Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Lisa Westbrook

Phone: (512) 239-1160

#### Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

NO

3) If YES for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? N/A

5) If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? N/A

## Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

#### A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

Effective Date: 11/18/2011 ADMINORDER 2011-0752-PWS-E (Findings Order-Agreed Order Without Denial)

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4)

5A THSC Chapter 341, SubChapter A 341.0315(c)

Description: Violated the maximum contaminant level for trihalomethanes during the fourth quarter of 2008.

Classification: Moderate

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4)

5A THSC Chapter 341, SubChapter A 341.0315(c)

Description: Violated the maximum contaminant level for trihalomethanes during the first quarter of 2007.

Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4)

5A THSC Chapter 341, SubChapter A 341.0315(c)

Description: Violated the maximum contaminant level for trihalomethanes during the second quarter of 2007.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4)

#### 5A THSC Chapter 341, SubChapter A 341.0315(c)

Description: Violated the maximum contaminant level for trihalomethanes during the third guarter of 2007.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4)

5A THSC Chapter 341, SubChapter A 341.0315(c)

Description: Violated the maximum contaminant level for trihalomethanes during the fourth quarter of 2007.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4)

5A THSC Chapter 341, SubChapter A 341.0315(c)

Description: Violated the maximum contaminant level for trihalomethanes during the first quarter of 2008,

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4)

5A THSC Chapter 341, SubChapter A 341.0315(c)

Description: Violated the maximum contaminant level for trihalomethanes during the second quarter of 2008.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4)

5A THSC Chapter 341, SubChapter A 341.0315(c)

Description: Violated the maximum contaminant level for trihalomethanes during the third guarter of 2008.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4)

5A THSC Chapter 341, SubChapter A 341,0315(c)

Description: Violated the maximum contaminant level for trihalomethanes during the second quarter of 2005.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4)

5A THSC Chapter 341, SubChapter A 341.0315(c)

Description: Violated the maximum contaminant level for trihalomethanes during the third quarter of 2005.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4)

5A THSC Chapter 341, SubChapter A 341.0315(c)

Description: Violated the maximum contaminant level for trihalomethanes during the fourth quarter of 2005.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4)

5A THSC Chapter 341, SubChapter A 341.0315(c)

Description: Violated the maximum contaminant level for trihalomethanes during the first guarter of 2006.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4)

5A THSC Chapter 341, SubChapter A 341.0315(c)

Description: Violated the maximum contaminant level for trihalomethanes during the second quarter of 2006.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4)

5A THSC Chapter 341, SubChapter A 341.0315(c)

Rqmt Prov: Provision Nos. 2.a. and 2.b. ORDER

Description: Violated the maximum contaminant level for trihalomethanes during the second quarter of 2010.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4)

5A THSC Chapter 341, SubChapter A 341.0315(c)

Rqmt Prov: Provision Nos. 2.a. and 2.b. ORDER

Description: Violated the maximum contaminant level for trihalomethanes during the third quarter of 2010.

#### **B.** Criminal convictions:

N/A

#### C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

#### D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

Item 1

December 03, 2010

(880206)

Item 2

January 17, 2012

(981059)

Item 3 January 07, 2013 (1052978)Item 4 January 03, 2014 (1139834)

#### E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

1 Date: 09/30/2014 (1260098)

CN600632905

Self Report? NO

Classification:

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290,106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

ARS MCL 3Q2014 - During the 3rd quarter of 2014 the system violated the

maximum contaminant level for arsenic with a RAA of 0,012 mg/L.

2

Date:

11/18/2014 (1260098)

CN600632905

Classification:

Moderate

Moderate

Citation:

Self Report? NO

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

ARS MCL 402014 - During the 4th quarter of 2014 the system violated the

maximum contaminant level for arsenic with a RAA of 0.011 mg/L.

3

Date: 06/15/2015 (1260098)

NO

CN600632905

Classification:

Moderate

Self Report? Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

ARS MCL 1Q2015 - During the 1st quarter of 2015 the system violated the

maximum contaminant level for arsenic with a RAA of 0.011 mg/L.

#### F. Environmental audits:

N/A

#### G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

#### H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

N/A

#### I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

#### J. Early compliance:

N/A

#### Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

#### Component Appendices

## Appendix A

All NOVs Issued During Component Period 7/13/2010 and 7/13/2015 1 Date: 10/23/2009 (934828)CN600632905 Classification: Moderate For Informational Purposes Only Self Report? NO 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290,113(f)(4) Citation: Description: Violated the maximum contaminant level for trihalomethanes during the third quarter of 2009. 2 04/28/2010 (934830)Date: CN600632905 Classification: Moderate For Informational Purposes Only Self Report? NO Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4) Violated the maximum contaminant level for trihalomethanes during the first Description: quarter of 2010. 3 Date: 08/20/2010 (900941)CN600632905 Classification: Moderate For Informational Purposes Only Self Report? NO 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4) Citation: 5A THSC Chapter 341, SubChapter A 341.0315(c) Provision Nos. 2.a. and 2.b. ORDER Violated the maximum contaminant level for trihalomethanes during the second Description: quarter of 2010. Date: 12/20/2010 (906424)CN600632905 Classification: Moderate For Informational Purposes Only NO Self Report? 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4) Citation: 5A THSC Chapter 341, SubChapter A 341.0315(c) Provision Nos. 2.a. and 2.b. ORDER Violated the maximum contaminant level for trihalomethanes during the third Description: quarter of 2010. 5 Date: 06/22/2011 (934831)CN600632905 Classification: Moderate For Informational Purposes Only Self Report? Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4) Description: Violated the maximum contaminant level for trihalomethanes during the fourth quarter of 2010. 6 Date: 09/30/2014 (1260098)CN600632905 Classification: Moderate For Informational Purposes Only Self Report? Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C) Description: ARS MCL 3Q2014 - During the 3rd quarter of 2014 the system violated the maximum contaminant level for arsenic with a RAA of 0.012 mg/L. 11/18/2014 7 Date: (1260098)CN600632905 Classification: Moderate For Informational Purposes Only Self Report? Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C) ARS MCL 4Q2014 - During the 4th quarter of 2014 the system violated the Description: maximum contaminant level for arsenic with a RAA of 0.011 mg/L. 8 Date: 06/15/2015 (1260098)CN600632905 Moderate

ARS MCL 1Q2015 - During the 1st quarter of 2015 the system violated the

Self Report?

Description:

Citation:

Page 4

For Informational Purposes Only

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Classification:

\* NOVs applicable for the Compliance History rating period 9/1/2009 to 8/31/2014

#### Appendix B

# All Investigations Conducted During Component Period July 13, 2010 and July 13, 2015

Item 1	August 20, 2010**	(900941) For Informational Purposes Only
Item 2*	December 03, 2010**	(880206) For Informational Purposes Only
Item 3	December 20, 2010**	(906424) For Informational Purposes Only
Item 4	May 09, 2011**	(915037) For Informational Purposes Only
Item 5	June 22, 2011**	(934831) For Informational Purposes Only
Item 6	June 23, 2011**	(934828) For Informational Purposes Only
Item 7	June 24, 2011**	(934830) For Informational Purposes Only
Item 8*	January 17, 2012**	(981059) For Informational Purposes Only
Item 9*	January 07, 2013**	For Informational Purposes Only
Item 10	February 14, 2013**	For Informational Purposes Only
Item 11*	January 03, 2014**	For Informational Purposes Only
Item 12	June 23, 2015	(1260098) For Informational Purposes Only
Item 13	June 26, 2015	For Informational Purposes Only

<sup>\*</sup> No violations documented during this investigation

<sup>\*\*</sup>Investigation applicable for the Compliance History Rating period between 09/01/2009 and 08/31/2014.

	•	
•		
•		
•		
		•

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



§	BEFORE THE
§	
§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
Ş	
§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
	\$ \$ \$

## AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2015-1052-PWS-E

At its	agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
("the Commission" or "TCEQ") co	onsidered this agreement of the parties, resolving an
enforcement action regarding the	City of Stanton (the "Respondent") under the authority of
Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 3	341. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the
Enforcement Division, and the Re	espondent presented this agreement to the Commission.

The Respondent understands that it has certain procedural rights at certain points in the enforcement process, including, but not limited to, the right to formal notice of violations, notice of an evidentiary hearing, the right to an evidentiary hearing, and a right to appeal. By entering into this Agreed Order, the Respondent agrees to waive all notice and procedural rights.

It is further understood and agreed that this Order represents the complete and fully-integrated settlement of the parties. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable. The duties and responsibilities imposed by this Agreed Order are binding upon the Respondent.

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

## I. FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Respondent owns and operates a public water supply located at the intersection of Saint Joseph Street and School Street in Stanton, Martin County, Texas (the "Facility") that has approximately 1,021 service connections and serves at least 25 people per day for at least 60 days per year.

- 2. During a record review conducted from June 15, 2015 through June 26, 2015, TCEQ staff documented that the running annual average concentrations for arsenic were 0.012 milligrams per liter ("mg/L") for the third quarter of 2014, 0.011 mg/L for the fourth quarter of 2014, and 0.011 mg/L for the first quarter of 2015.
- 3. The Respondent received notice of the violations on July 2, 2015.

#### II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 341 and the rules of the Commission.
- 2. As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 2, the Respondent failed to comply with the maximum contaminant level ("MCL") of 0.010 mg/L for arsenic, based on the running annual average, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.106(f)(3) and Tex. Health & SAFETY CODE § 341.0315(c).
- 3. Pursuant to Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341.049, the Commission has the authority to assess an administrative penalty against the Respondent for violations of the Texas Water Code and the Texas Health and Safety Code within the Commission's jurisdiction; for violations of rules adopted under such statutes; or for violations of orders or permits issued under such statutes.
- 4. An administrative penalty in the amount of One Hundred Ninety-Five Dollars (\$195) is justified by the facts recited in this Agreed Order, and considered in light of the factors set forth in Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341.049(b). The Respondent has paid the One Hundred Ninety-Five Dollar (\$195) administrative penalty.

#### III. ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. The Respondent is assessed an administrative penalty in the amount of One Hundred Ninety-Five Dollars (\$195) as set forth in Section II, Paragraph 4 above, for violations of TCEQ rules and state statutes. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order completely resolve the violations set forth by this Agreed Order in this action. However, the Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for other violations that are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: City of Stanton, Docket No. 2015-1052-PWS-E" to:

> Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Within 365 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, return to compliance with the running annual average MCL for arsenic, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.106; and
  - b. Within 380 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Public Drinking Water Section Manager Water Supply Division, MC 155 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.

- 4. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 5. The Executive Director may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings without notice to the Respondent if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 6. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 7. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 8. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 9. Pursuant to 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b) and Tex. GOV'T CODE § 2001.142, the effective date of this Order is the date it is signed by the Commission. A copy of this fully executed Order shall be provided to each of the parties.

# SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
For the Executive Director	1214)15 Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the City of Stanton. I am authorized to agree to the Stanton, and do agree to the specified terms and TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amore representation.	attached Agreed Order on behalf of the City of l conditions. I further acknowledge that the
I understand that by entering into this Agreed O procedural rights, including, but not limited to, to by this Agreed Order, notice of an evidentiary he the right to appeal. I agree to the terms of the Agreed Order constitutes full and final adjute forth in this Agreed Order.	the right to formal notice of violations addressed earing, the right to an evidentiary hearing, and greed Order in lieu of an evidentiary hearing.
<ul><li>additional penalties, and/or attorney fees</li><li>Increased penalties in any future enforce</li></ul>	may result in:  ons submitted;  eral's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, s, or to a collection agency; ement actions; ral's Office of any future enforcement actions; by law.
Munal Mans Signature	Date  Coty Admidistrator
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of City of Stanton	City Admidistrator

**Instructions**: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section III, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.